

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAULA WHITEROCK,  
Plaintiff,

v.

OLD REPUBLIC DEFAULT  
MANAGEMENT SERVICES, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-01033-KAW](#)


**ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S  
FAILURE TO RESPOND TO THE JULY  
27, 2015 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

On July 27, 2015, the Court ordered Plaintiff to “(1) show cause why her case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, and (2) file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of non-opposition to the motion to dismiss.” (Dkt. No. 40 at 1.) Plaintiff was advised that the response to the order to show cause and the opposition should be filed as separate documents. *Id.* Despite this instruction, Plaintiff only filed an opposition to the motion to dismiss. (See Pl.’s Opp’n, Dkt. No. 41.) To date, Plaintiff has not responded to the order to show cause.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is ordered to respond to the July 27, 2015 Order to Show Cause on or before **September 8, 2015**. Specifically, Plaintiff must explain why she did not file a timely opposition and why her case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The Court will hold a hearing on the order to show cause on September 17, 2015 alongside the hearing on Defendants’ motion to dismiss the first amended complaint. Failure to file a timely response may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 26, 2015

  
KANDIS A. WESTMORE  
United States Magistrate Judge